

2 Corinthians 10:1-6

Now I, Paul, myself am pleading with you by the meekness and gentleness of Christ—who in presence *am* lowly among you, but being absent am bold toward you.

²But I beg *you* that when I am present I may not be bold with that confidence by which I intend to be bold against some, who think of us as if we walked according to the flesh.

³For though we walk in the flesh, we do not war according to the flesh. ⁴For the weapons of our warfare *are* not carnal but mighty in God

for pulling down strongholds,

⁵casting down arguments and

every high thing that exalts itself against the knowledge of God, bringing every thought (πάν νόημα) into captivity to the obedience of Christ, ⁶and being ready to punish all disobedience when your obedience is fulfilled.

2 Corinthians 2:11 ...lest Satan should take advantage of us; for we are not ignorant of his devices (τὰ νοήματα).

Darwinian Evolution is a religion.

p.64 “Atheists have an active belief system with views concerning origins (molecules to man evolution—that the universe and life arose by natural processes): no life are death, denies the existence of God; how to behave while alive; and so much more....”

Humanist Manifesto (1993)

“FIRST: Religious humanists regard the universe as self-existing and not created.

SECOND: Humanism believes that man is a part of nature and that he has emerged as a result of a continuous process....”

Presuppositions of Modern Science:

1. **Naturalism** – “the universe and life arose by natural processes” (p.63-65).
“...students are taught that life and universe are explained by natural processes, apart from the supernatural intervention of a Creator (p.36).
2. Therefore, there is no God and the supernatural are inadmissible.

Ken Ham makes a distinction between

1. **Observational science** uses the scientific method (observation, question, hypothesis, test, conclusion), which is grounded in the idea of repeatability, falsifiability, and testability.

<https://www.livescience.com/20896-science-scientific-method.html>

2. **Origins science** looking at present evidence makes a judgment concerning an unobservable and unrepeatable past. There is much conjecture and assumption in which the past cause must be inferred from present processes.

The evolutionary road can't get to the truth....

- (1) "Once we go through that anthropological, astronomical, biological, and geological history in the Bible,
- (2) we explain how the history is true and confirmed by science.
- (3) We then present the message of Christ, Cross, and Consummation that's founded in that history" (p.101).

p.110, "I told this person I start with the Bible... ..how I build my worldview on the details that are given in the Bible, particularly in the first 11 chapters of Genesis."

p.121, "In other words, the Bible gives us the right foundational thinking, so we can approach geology, biology, anthropology, etc. in the correct way. Actually, the Bible is a book of history—a book of historical science."

"The public schools, by and large, now teach that everything a student learns about science, history, etc., has nothing to do with God—it can all be explained without any supernatural reference. This is a religious view—an anti-Christian view with which students are being indoctrinated. Humanists know that naturalistic evolution is foundation to their religion—their worldview that everything can be explained without God..." (The New Answers, Book 3, p.29).

Ken Ham and Bill Nye Debate Feb 4, 2014

Ken Ham Leads off: [Joke about his Aussie accent.]

When this was first announced on the Internet, there were lots of statements like this one from the Richard Dawkins Foundation, "Scientists should not debate creationists." I believe there is a gross misrepresentation in our culture. People have been indoctrinated to believe that creationists cannot be scientists. I believe this is a case of secularists hijacking the word science. I want you to meet a modern-day scientist who is a biblical creationist.

Video clip: My name is Stuart Burgess. I am a professor of engineering design in the UK. I have published over 130 scientific papers on science and design. In my research work I find that scientific evidence supports creationism as the best explanation to origins.

Ham: Stuart is a biblical creationist, who is a scientist; he is also an inventor, and I want young people to understand, that the problem I believe is this: we need to define terms correctly. We need to define creation, evolution in regard to origins, and we need to define science. In this opening statement, I want to concentrate on dealing with the word "science." I believe the word science has been hijacked by secularists.

What is science? The origin of the word comes from the classical Latin which means to know. The dictionary will tell you that science is the state of knowing and knowledge, (slide: as distinguished from ignorance or misunderstanding). But there's different types of knowledge, and I think this is where the confusion arises. **There is experimental or observational science**, as we call it, that's using the scientific method of observation, measurement, and experiment and testing. That's what produces our technology: computers, spacecraft, jet planes, smoke detectors, etc. Looking at DNA, antibiotics, medicines and vaccines. You see, all scientists, whether evolutionists or creationists, actually have the same observational or experimental science. And it doesn't matter whether you're an evolutionist or a creationist; you can be a great scientist. For instance, here is an atheist who is a great scientist: Craig Venter is one of the first researchers to sequence the human genome. Or Dr. Raymond Damadian, the man who invented the MRI scanner and revolutionized the field of medicine. He is a biblical creationist. But I want you to also understand: molecules-to-man evolution belief has nothing to do with developing technology. You see, when we're talking about

origins, were talking about the past; we weren't there; we can't observe that, whether it's molecules-to-man evolution or whether it's the creation account.

When you are talking about the past, we like to call it **origins- or historical-science**. Here at the Creation Museum, we make no apologies about the fact that **our origins, or historical science, is based on the Biblical account of origins**. When you research science textbooks being used in public schools, what we have found is this: by and large, the origins, or historical science is based on man's ideas about the past. For example, the ideas of Darwin. And our research has found that public school textbooks are using the same word science for observational science **and** historical science; **they arbitrarily define science as naturalism, and outlaw the supernatural**. They present molecules-to-man evolution as fact. They are imposing the religion of naturalism/atheism on generations of students.

I assert that the word science has been hijacked by secularists in teaching evolution, to force the religion of naturalism on generations of kids. Secular evolutionists teach that all life developed by natural processes from some primeval form; that man is just an evolved animal, which has great bearing on how we view life and death. Graphic: ape man evolving into modern man; [icon of human evolution]. For instance, as Bill states, "It's hard for many of us to accept that when you die it's over." You see, the Bible gives a totally different account of origins: who we are, where we came from, the meaning of life, and our future.

Scripture: Romans 5:12: Through one man sin entered the world, and death through sin. But John 3:16, says "For God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believes in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life."

So, is creation a viable model of origins in today's modern scientific era? I say, the creation/evolution debate is really a conflict between two philosophical worldviews based on two different accounts of origins or historical science beliefs. Creation is the only viable model of historical science, confirmed by observational science, in today's modern scientific era.

<http://www.youngearth.org/index.php/archives/rmcf-articles/item/21-transcript-of-ken-ham-vs-bill-nye-debate>